l

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 over to June 24, 2008, in order to accommodate responsive and supplemental pleadings by all counsel.

- 3. Mr. Herb filed supplemental and additional pleadings on June 20, 2008.
- 4. I have filed responsive pleadings June 18, 19 and 23 of 2008.
- 5. I have finished filing responsive papers on Monday June 23, 2008.
- This matter has required plaintiff to engage in motion practice with essentially two 6. separate cases as defendants Mayfield and Hunt each have their own attorneys and respective legal theories – this has necessitated more time for plaintiff to adequately respond.
- 7. In contrast defendants each are approaching 'one' plaintiff.
- Because of the high number of declarations, responsive declarations, supplemental declarations, and highly technical matters introduced in declarations, plaintiff requires more time on responsive and reply briefing.
- 9. I have emailed all counsel and the US DISTRICT COURT CLERK Tracy Sutton on Friday June 20, 2008 and apprised all of my intent to seek this ex parte application on time enlargement of the briefing schedule and have received no response from counsel.
- 10. At this time on Monday July 23, 2008, unless unforeseen exceptional circumstances require I do not plan on filing any more responsive or reply papers in this instant matter on preliminary injunction.
- I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was on the 23rd day of June, 2008, executed in Petaluma, California.

Dated: June 23, 2008

Respectfully Submitted

Case 3:07-cv-02648-SI Filed 06/25/2008 Document 86 Page 3 of 3 1 /S/ Michael S. Biggs 2 Michael S. Biggs Attorney for Plaintiff's 3 Pinoleville Pomo Nation, et al 4 5 6 **ORDER** 7 The SCHEDULING ORDER as to briefing on Preliminary Injunction calendared to be heard on 8 JUNE 25, 2008 at 1:00 PM shall be revised to enlarge reply date of June 18, 2008, over to June 9 24, 2008. 10 11 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 14 Dated: June 2008 15 Judge of the United States District Court Northern District of California 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PPN et al v. UAD et al Case No.: C 07 2648 SI Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application to Enlarge Time on Responsive Briefing